



Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels

Second Meeting of Seabird Bycatch Working Group

Hermanus, South Africa, 17 – 18 August 2008

Annotated Agenda

Author: SBWG

SBWG-2 Doc 02
Agenda Item No.

Annotated Agenda – SBWG 2

Agenda Item	Papers
<p>1. Mitigation research update. Lead: All SBWG members</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — SBWG members to provide information to the WG on recent mitigation research and developments. — Graham Robertson. Update on underwater setting capsule and line-shooter research. — Barry Watkins. South African hake trawl — Ben Sullivan. Safe leads and development of the bait pod for pelagic fishing. — Barry Baker. Progress on development of the Smart Hook. — Johanna Pierre. NZ trials on offal management. <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SBWG is provided with an update on recent advances in mitigation research. 	SBWG-2 Doc 8
<p>2. Trawl Bycatch Mitigation Lead: Johanna Pierre & Ben Sullivan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Consider intersessional review of trawl bycatch mitigation and develop a summary table for Parties and RFMOs to guide the development of policy and practice within the fisheries under their jurisdiction. Johanna Pierre to present NZ review paper — Develop priorities for future research Ben Sullivan to lead discussion <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Finalisation of review paper to permit dissemination to Parties and others; ▪ Development of advice on best practice mitigation for trawl operations; and ▪ Priorities for mitigation research established. 	SBWG-2 Doc 5
<p>3. Demersal Longline Bycatch Mitigation Lead: Mark Tasker & Ben Sullivan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Consider intersessional review of demersal longline bycatch mitigation and develop a summary table for Parties and RFMOs to guide the development of policy and practice within the fisheries under their jurisdiction. Mark Tasker to present review paper <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Finalisation of review paper to permit dissemination to Parties and others; ▪ Development of advice on best practice mitigation for demersal 	SBWG-2 Doc 6

<p>longline operations; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Priorities for mitigation research established. 	
<p>4. Pelagic Longline Bycatch Mitigation Lead: Graham Robertson</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Review recent developments in mitigation research and update Table 2 of the SBWG 1 Report — <i>Review of seabird bycatch mitigation measures for Pelagic Longline Fishing and identification of knowledge gaps.</i> B.Baker/Graham Robertson to update Table 2 prior to meeting — This document is available for Parties and Tuna RFMOs to guide the development of policy and practice within the fisheries under their jurisdiction. <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ACAP’s advice on best practice mitigation for pelagic longline operations is updated. 	<p>SBWG-2 Doc 8 AC3 Doc 14 Rev 5 Table 2 & references</p>
<p>5. Bycatch data provision by Parties, with respect to ACAP Reporting and ACAP Indicators Lead: Barry Baker and Carlos Moreno</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Determine the sort of fishing and bycatch data required from Parties to provide a succinct picture of the current situation in each jurisdiction for ACAP listed species. A draft data template will be developed intersessionally for consideration by SBWG members. — The summary / overview tables produced by the CCAMLR Secretariat each year provide a template that may be useful for ACAP. These tables review trends in mitigation use, seabird bycatch and fishing effort across all CCAMLR fisheries, and will be described in a paper prepared by the CCAMLR Secretariat Keith Reid. <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Advice to the AC on improved data provision by Parties on bycatch within their jurisdictions. ▪ A process for evaluation of bycatch data is developed for consideration by Parties for use within their jurisdictions. 	<p>SBWG-2 Doc 15</p> <p>SBWG-2 Doc 31</p>
<p>6. Coordination of activities relating to RFMOs Lead: Mark Tasker</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Strategy for engagement with RFMOs and other relevant international bodies, and coordination of ACAP activities (Work Programme Item 4.3) Consider draft RFMO Interaction Plan, developed intersessionally by the UK and NZ Mark Tasker & Johanna Pierre — Update on BirdLife Global Tracking Database (Work Programme Item 4.4) Consider paper describing additional data received since AC3 and gap analysis BirdLife (Ben Sullivan) 	<p>SBWG-2 Doc 14</p> <p>SBWG-2 Doc 12</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Review examples of a series of mitigation fact sheets developed by BirdLife and discuss ACAPs involvement in ongoing updates. Fact sheets are for distribution at RFMO and fisheries management meetings. Ben Sullivan — Reports from ACAP observers at recent meetings (Observer reports) Tabled reports will be taken as read unless SBWG members identify matters that require resolution. — Update on NPOA Guidelines and FAO expert consultation Ben Sullivan <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Strategy for engagement with RFMOs and other relevant international bodies is developed for endorsement by the AC; ▪ Progress toward development of products such as BirdLife’s Global Tracking Database and mitigation fact sheets, which may be suitable for RFMO meetings, noted; ▪ Progress on development of NPOA guidelines noted; and ▪ Advice prepared for AC identifying key issues and recommendations from observer reports of recent meetings. 	<p>SBWG-2 Doc 9</p> <p>SBWG-2 Doc 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30</p> <p>SBWG-2 Doc 10</p>
<p>7. Capacity Building Issues Lead: Marco Favero</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Ecuador, Argentina and Birdlife will present a proposal for a capacity building project to train Ecuadorian observers, which is a product of the Waved Albatross Action Plan <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SBWG to note this worthwhile capacity building initiative. 	
<p>8. SBWG Work Programme Carlos Moreno</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Review and update SBWG Programme, noting progress achieved, both intersessionally and at the meeting. The Work Program will be updated continuously in the meeting as items arise on the Agenda, and discussion will only focus on Work Programme items that have not been addressed earlier. <p>Action/expected outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SBWG Programme will be updated 	
<p>9. Project Applications Barry Baker</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Assessment of funding requests received. Secretariat will provide funding applications to SBWG members only (in confidence). 	<p>AC3 Doc 24</p>

Action/expected outcome:

- Priorities will discussed and recommendations provided to the Advisory Committee for decision on funding.