



**Acuerdo sobre la Conservación de Albatros y Petreles**

**Tercera Reunión del Comité Asesor**

*Valdivia, Chile – 19 al 22 junio de 2007*

---

**Programa de Trabajo del Comité Asesor 2007-2009**

**Autor: Presidente del Comité Asesor**



### **Programa de Trabajo del Comité Asesor 2007-2009**

La Segunda Reunión de las Partes (RdP2) revisó la propuesta de Programa de Trabajo del Comité Asesor para el período 2007-2009 (véase MoP2 Doc 23). Las partes aprobaron el programa de trabajo mediante la Resolución 2.6 (Anexo 6). Se incluye copia del programa aprobado en el Apéndice A.

La RdP2 asesoró sobre determinados aspectos del programa de trabajo y pidió al CA que tomara medidas específicas sobre algunos puntos que se describen a continuación.

#### *Captura secundaria de aves marinas*

La RdP2 apoyó la creación del Grupo de Trabajo sobre Captura Secundaria por el CA, y resaltó que este GdT se dedicaría a cuestiones científicas y técnicas y de otra naturaleza, como estrategia y políticas.

Las Partes analizaron y apoyaron el documento "Estrategia del GdTCS" (MoP2 Doc 21) con algunas recomendaciones. La RdP reconoció que la estrategia no estaba concluida y alentó a las Partes a colaborar.

#### *Interacciones con las Organizaciones Regionales para la Ordenación Pesquera*

Las Partes reconocieron la importancia de crear vínculos con las OROP más importantes a fin de abordar la cuestión de la captura secundaria de albatros y petreles por las pesquerías internacionales. Recomendaron el tratamiento del tema por el CA.

La RdP acordó continuar y ampliar la representación del ACAP en las reuniones pertinentes de las OROP y demás reuniones internacionales de relevancia. Se observó la importancia de comprometerse con la industria pesquera para explorar soluciones técnicas tendientes a mitigar la captura secundaria.

La RdP2 expresó su preocupación por la falta general de información relacionada con el nivel de captura secundaria asociada a la pesca ilegal, no documentada y no reglamentada (INDNR). La RdP2 acordó que existía la necesidad imperiosa de que las OROP proporcionaran estimaciones de la pesca INDNR en sus respectivas jurisdicciones, y solicitó al CA que considerara dicho asunto en mayor detalle.

El Presidente del Comité Asesor consideró que la consulta con las OROP podía volverse políticamente difícil, y que los contactos deberían contar con el acuerdo del CA respecto de los temas particularmente arduos propuestos a la RdP.

La reunión observó la importancia de elaborar Planes Nacionales de Acción para la Reducción de la Captura Secundaria de Aves Marinas en las Pesquerías de Palangre (NPOA para Aves Marinas) y demás planes, coherentes con los planes de FAO NPOA para Aves Marinas y, en la medida posible, los planes correspondientes a otros métodos de pesca que ayuden a lograr el objetivo del Acuerdo.

La reunión también reconoció la importancia de cooperar con las OROP de las cuales las Partes y los Estados del Área de Distribución son Miembros, y de la necesidad de que propongan y apoyen el desarrollo, la aplicación y el monitoreo de la mitigación y de otras medidas de reducción de la captura secundaria de albatros y petreles, y faciliten el intercambio de información con esas OROP.

La RdP2 solicitó al Comité Asesor que considere las siguientes acciones en relación con la participación del Acuerdo y de las Partes con las OROP:

- comenzar o desarrollar, según el caso, una relación constructiva e intercambiar datos e información;
- aplicar medidas eficaces de reducción de la captura secundaria de aves marinas para asegurar la máxima disminución posible del índice y el grado de captura en forma permanente en las áreas bajo su responsabilidad;
- aplicar o desarrollar, según el caso, mecanismos para exigir recolección, informe y divulgación de datos anuales sobre captura secundaria de albatros y petteles a fin de evaluar y controlar la eficacia de estas medidas y el impacto continuo en las poblaciones de aves marinas; y
- abordar la captura secundaria de aves marinas, su evaluación, seguimiento y mitigación de manera uniforme y aplicable en todas las OROP relevantes y otros foros.

#### *Priorización del Programa de Trabajo del Comité Asesor*

Las partes identificaron varios temas que estimaron como de la más alta prioridad. Sin seguir un orden determinado, Formación de Capacidades, trabajo conjunto con las OROP para reducir las interacciones entre aves marinas y pesquerías, el impacto de las especies no autóctonas, y recolección de mejor información sobre estado y tendencias de las poblaciones de aves marinas y "Evaluaciones de las especies del ACAP". Las limitaciones presupuestarias y de recursos surgieron como factores probablemente limitantes.

La RdP2 decidió que la asignación de fondos para el programa de trabajo del CA para el período 2007-2009 provendría de las contribuciones de las nuevas Partes del Acuerdo y de las contribuciones voluntarias. El presupuesto actual del Programa de Trabajo del CA se presentan en el AC3 Doc 9.

#### ACCIONES

Se solicita al Comité Asesor que:

- Trate los puntos enunciados por la RdP2;
- Tome las medidas necesarias para aplicar el Programa de Trabajo 2007-2009; e
- Identifique las enmiendas necesarias al programa de trabajo, especialmente las referidas al trabajo propuesto por las reuniones del GdT anteriores a la CA3.

**Appendix A**  
**ADVISORY COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME 2007-2009**

	Topic/Task	Responsible group	Timeframe	Detail (where relevant, an indicative cost in Australian dollars is given)
<b>1</b>	<b>Taxonomy and Annex 1 Review</b>			
1.1	Review the evidence supporting the specific status of selected ACAP taxa.	Taxonomy WG, and AC	By AC3 (2007)	Review the evidence supporting the specific status of the following taxa: Buller's and Pacific albatrosses Northern and southern royal albatrosses Indian and Atlantic yellow-nosed albatrosses Chatham and Salvin's albatrosses Northern and southern giant-petrels Black and Westland petrels White-chinned and spectacled petrels
1.2	Construct a morphological and plumage database	Taxonomy WG	By AC3 (2007)	Construct a morphological and plumage database, then canvas for, collate, archive and summarise available data (AU\$ 2500)
1.3	Assess the utility of the subspecies rank for ACAP purposes	Taxonomy WG and AC	By AC3 (2007)	Assess the utility of the subspecies rank for ACAP purposes and if appropriate develop guidelines for the recognition of subspecific status
1.4	Maintain the Taxonomy WG's bibliographic database	Taxonomy WG	Ongoing	Maintain the Taxonomy WG's bibliographic database (AU\$ 500)
1.5	Provide annual reports to AC on WG activities	Taxonomy WG	AC3, AC4, AC5	Provide annual reports to AC on Taxonomy WG activities
1.6	Draft amendments to Annex 1 of the Agreement	Taxonomy WG	AC5 (2009)	Only if needed
1.7	Move the Taxonomy WG website to the ACAP Secretariat	Chair of Taxonomy WG	AC3 (2007)	A website only accessible by WG members has been created elsewhere; this would be appropriately managed by the Secretariat (AU\$ 700)
1.8	Develop a framework to guide the listing of further species in Annex 1	South Africa & Australia	AC3, AC4	First draft was considered at AC2
<b>2</b>	<b>Review of Status and Trends</b>			
2.1	Identify national coordinators to compile and submit data.	Chair of STWG (with Secretariat)	As soon as possible	Remaining Parties to notify (Interim) Secretariat of national coordinators/ STWG members
2.2	Annual data collation and submission	STWG	Ongoing	Compiled data from Parties, SCAR etc. to be submitted annually to (Interim) Secretariat.
2.3	Further develop and enter data to database	STWG	Ongoing	(AU\$ 7500)
2.4	Undertake gap analysis	STWG and AC	AC3, AC4, AC5	Review data and provide recommendations to Parties
2.5	Encourage Parties to fill gaps in data	STWG and AC	AC3, AC4, AC5	
2.6	Establish agreed process for analyses of trends	STWG	By end February 2007	Continue assessment of relevant and appropriate statistical approaches to analyses of trend data
2.7	Further develop proforma for ACAP species assessments	STWG	By end March 2007	Refine draft of species assessment (AU\$ 5000)

	<b>Topic/Task</b>	<b>Responsible group</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Detail (where relevant, an indicative cost in Australian dollars is given)</b>
2.8	Coordinate synthesis based on species conservation assessments	STWG	By AC3 (2007)	Prepare first assessments and develop executive summary of species assessments (AU\$ 36,800)
2.9	Complete series of species assessments	STWG	By end October 2007	(AU\$ 45,600)
2.10	Develop strategy of publication of species assessments in public domain – web, print, electronic	STWG	By end November 2007	Finalise and implement communication strategy for species assessments. (AU\$ 27,200)
2.11	Provide and consider annual reports to AC on WG activities	STWG and AC	AC4, AC5, AC6	
2.12	Maintenance of database, data quality assurance, review and input	STWG	AC4, AC5, AC6	(AU\$ 5000 per year)
<b>3</b>	<b>Protection of Breeding Sites and Status of Non-Native Species</b>			
3.1	Identify national coordinators to compile and submit data.	Chair of BSWG	Ongoing	Remaining Parties to notify (Interim) Secretariat of national coordinators/ BSWG members
3.2	Data submission from Parties <sup>nd</sup> 2 <sup>nd</sup> tranche (remaining data) <sup>rd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> tranche (newly collected data)	BSWG	By Dec. 2006 Annually	Seek detail on any outstanding breeding site not already covered by submissions
3.3	Revise the database lists and structures following the recommendations made at AC2	BSWG	By end December 2006	Including revision of the list of threats
3.4	Develop a list of alien species	BSWG	December 2006	A list of alien species of relevance to the conservation of ACAP species to replace the need for 'potential' threats to be identified for each alien species at each breeding site
3.5	Develop analyses as set out in the report of the BSWG of June 2006	BSWG	By end March 2007	Refine and implement analyses to enable priority sites / threat management actions and data gathering priorities to be identified (AU\$ 22,500)
3.6	Review analyses of data and gaps. Recommend priority sites / threat management actions. Recommend data-gathering priorities	BSWG and AC	At meeting prior to AC3 and at AC3	Review the range of analyses conducted under 3.12 at the BSWG meeting in 2007, and recommend for the AC
3.7	Work with other ACAP WGs to report on analyses of threats to ACAP species	BSWG	By AC3 (2007) and ongoing	Development of ACAP species conservation assessments
3.8	Produce best-practice conservation guidelines for ACAP Species breeding sites			Identify and access information from current and planned programmes that address land-based threats. Information currently derived via national reports. Encourage and support adoption of existing and appropriate mitigation measures and/or eradication programmes (AU\$ 30,000)

	<b>Topic/Task</b>	<b>Responsible group</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Detail (where relevant, an indicative cost in Australian dollars is given)</b>
3.9	Identification of Internationally Important Breeding Sites	IASOS on behalf of ACAP	By AC3 (2007)	Preparation of discussion paper based on review of existing criteria that may assist in development of new criteria to identify Internationally Important Breeding Sites critical for Annex 1 species.
3.10	Provide and consider annual reports to AC on WG activities	SBWG and AC	AC4, AC5, AC6	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Fisheries interaction</b>			
4.1	Analysing existing remote tracking data and complete initial reports on overlaps with fisheries	BirdLife under contract to ACAP	By December 2006	Analyse the distribution data for all ACAP species within areas managed by key RFMOs (This text is as was in original AC work plan and overlaps heavily with Item 1 of SBWG indicative work plan) (AU\$ 25,000)
4.2	Establish Seabird Bycatch Working Group	Chair of SBWG (with Secretariat)	AC3 (2007)	Chair to seek nominations from all Parties/interested Range States and to further develop Terms of Reference
4.3	Develop a strategy for ACAP and Parties to engage and assist RFMOs and other relevant international and national bodies to assess and minimise bycatch of albatrosses and petrels	SBWG	MoP2, AC3	
4.4	Review and utilise available information on foraging distribution and seabird bycatch to assess the risk of fishing operations on ACAP species in fishing regions (e.g. RFMO areas of competence, national EEZs)	SBWG	AC3	Note overlap with 4.1, use output from BirdLife contract (AU\$ 30,000)
4.5	Review information on mitigation measures for fishing methods known to impact albatrosses and petrels	SBWG	AC3	Initial work should focus on pelagic longline methods
4.6	Develop products to assist RFMOs and other relevant international and national bodies in reducing seabird bycatch.	SBWG	AC3, AC4	These could include: observer programme designs including protocols for the collection of seabird bycatch data, analytical methods for assessing seabird bycatch, best-practice mitigation measures (AU\$ 30,000)
4.7	Assist in the preparation, adoption and implementation of FAO NPOA-Seabirds	SBWG (and Parties)	AC3, AC4, AC5	This may include development of best practice guidelines.
4.8	Develop materials and guidelines to assist ACAP representatives attending RFMO and other relevant meetings to maximise effective participation and consideration of issues relevant to ACAP	SBWG	AC3, AC4, AC5	These materials would be above and beyond those outlined above (AU\$ 30,000)

	<b>Topic/Task</b>	<b>Responsible group</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Detail (where relevant, an indicative cost in Australian dollars is given)</b>
4.9	Provide and consider annual reports to AC on WG activities	SBWG and AC	AC4, AC5, AC6	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Capacity building</b>			
5.1	Develop strategy for capacity building	AC	AC3	
5.1	Identify needs for capacity building	AC	AC3	Seek advice from Parties and Range States on obstacles preventing implementation of ACAP.
5.2	Identify sources of funding for capacity building	AC	2006/09	
5.3	Support applications for funding from e.g. GEF	AC	2006/09	
5.4	Technical Cooperation	AC	2006/09	Identify opportunities for assisting Parties and Range States through exchange of skills, knowledge, training, and other resources.
<b>6.</b>	<b>Indicators</b>			
6.1	Develop a system of indicators for the success of the ACAP Agreement	BirdLife, South Africa and New Zealand	AC4, AC5	Develop a system of indicators to measure the collective success of the Parties to the Agreement
<b>7.</b>	<b>Collation of information on research, legislation and organisations/individuals concerned with albatrosses and petrels</b>			
7.1	Develop a database of relevant scientific literature	AC with Parties, [volunteer]	AC3, AC4	Once developed, this would be passed to Secretariat for maintenance. There are many existing individual initiatives. (AUD 2000)
7.2	Develop a directory of relevant legislation	AC with Parties, [volunteer]	AC5	This will help Parties/AC in reducing their reporting needs and could potentially also be useful to any Party wishing to develop further legislation. Once assembled, this would be passed to Secretariat for maintenance. (AUD 500)
7.3	Develop a list of authorities, research centres, scientists and non-government organisations relevant to ACAP	AC with Parties, [volunteer]	AC3, AC4, AC5	This will help Parties/AC in reducing their reporting needs. Once assembled, this would be passed to Secretariat for maintenance. (AUD 500)
<b>8.</b>	<b>Secretariat oversight</b>			
8.1	Budget matters	AC	Ongoing	Process matters that arise as a result of the Financial Regulations and consider a report on these matters prepared by the Executive Secretary
8.2	Staff matters	AC	Ongoing	Process matters that arise as a result of the Staff Regulations and consider a report on these matters prepared by the Executive Secretary